



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/255,549 | 02/22/1999 | HIDEO KAWAHARA | 1232-4511 | 8742 |
| 7.59 | 01/14/2003 | | | |
| MORGAN & FINNEGAN | | | EXAMINER | |
| 345 PARK AVE NEW YORK, N | | | HANNETT, JAMES M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |

2612 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | - 0 | | | |
| | | 09/255,549 | KAWAHARA ET A | IL. AY | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | James M Hannett | 2612 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status 1)∐ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | — · is action is non-fin | al | | | | |
| 3) | , | | | ie merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| , | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | 1 | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ır. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| ,- | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been recei | ved. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other: | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and T | rademark Office | ation Summans | B-4 | of Daner No. 6 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/255,549

Art Unit: 2612

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to an image sensing method and the components and steps of I. the image sensing method, classified in class 348, subclass 208.99.
- II. Claims 15-40, drawn to the details of a vibration correction control step for an image sensing method, classified in class 348, subclass 208.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the image sensing method does not require the specific vibration control step of invention II to operate. The subcombination has separate utility such as a vibration control step for a digital camera.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/255,549

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hannett whose telephone number is 703-305-7880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-842-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is 703-308-6789.

James Hannett Examiner Art Unit 2612

JMH January 13, 2003

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600

Page 3